

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,379	01/09/2002	Takashi Kondo	245402004000	5474
25227 7590 12/31/2008 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, HUNG Q	
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2169	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/040,379	KONDO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HUNG Q. PHAM	2169	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. Mac reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this

1. \(\to \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evince, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Evaporate Nater (If but is checked, etc., either but vice, (2) (A) NIX YEAR SIX IF THE REPLY BUT VIA SIX IF THE THE REPLY WAS SIX IF DIVITION TWO.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding emount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.77(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: ______ (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ______.
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).
 7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 23.24.27 and 28.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. \(\subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
 See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other:

/HUNG Q. PHAM/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the claimed imitation "a display unit for displaying an image registered in the image database" have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. A "display unit" is an inherited feature of Kolke system. As taught by Kolke, a facial image is acquired and output to facial cut-out section 102 has an image buffer for holding the acquired facial image and cutting out a facial region of the acquired image. The region cutting operation could be performed manually (Kolke, Col. 3 Lines 55-67).

In order to cut out the facial region of the acquired facial image manually, a "display unit", e.g. a monitor, must be existed in the system for displaying the captured facial image and performing region cutting operation. FIG. 3 of Koike is another evidence of the "display unit", wherein a dictionary image is superposed on the cut out region of the test image for comparison (Koike, Col. 5 Lines 40-50). In short, the Koike implicitly discloses "a display unit for displaying an image registered in the image database".